

DAVID H. KRAMER, SBN 168452
 MENG JIA YANG, SBN 311859
 WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI
 Professional Corporation
 650 Page Mill Road
 Palo Alto, CA 94304-1050
 Telephone: (650) 493-9300
 Facsimile: (650) 565-5100
 Email: dkramer@wsgr.com
 Email: mjyang@wsgr.com

AMIT Q. GRESSEL, SBN 307663
 WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI
 Professional Corporation
 One Market Plaza
 Spear Tower, Suite 3300
 San Francisco, CA 94105-1126
 Telephone: (415) 947-2000
 Facsimile: (415) 947-2099
 Email: agressel@wsgr.com

BRIAN M. WILLEN (*admitted pro hac*)
 BENJAMIN D. MARGO (*admitted pro hac*)
 WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI
 Professional Corporation
 1301 Avenue of the Americas, 40th Floor
 New York, NY 10019-6022
 Telephone: (212) 999-5800
 Facsimile: (212) 999-5801
 Email: bwillen@wsgr.com
 Email: bmargo@wsgr.com

STEFFEN N. JOHNSON (*admitted pro hac*)
 WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI
 Professional Corporation
 1700 K Street NW, Fifth Floor
 Washington, DC 20006-3817
 Telephone: (202) 973-8800
 Facsimile: (202) 973-8899
 Email: sjohnson@wsgr.com

Attorneys for Defendants
 YOUTUBE, LLC and SUNDAR PICHAJ

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 OAKLAND DIVISION

DONALD J. TRUMP, KELLY VICTORY,
 AUSTEN FLETCHER, AMERICAN
 CONSERVATIVE UNION, ANDREW
 BAGGIANI, MARYSE VERONICA JEAN-
 LOUIS, NAOMI WOLF, and FRANK
 VALENTINE,

Plaintiffs,

v.

YOUTUBE, LLC and SUNDAR PICHAJ,

Defendants.

CASE NO.: 4:21-cv-08009-JSW

**RESPONSE TO “MOTION FOR
 LEAVE TO FILE - MOTION TO
 CONSOLIDATE AND MOTION TO
 INTERVENE AND JOIN” BY
 THIRD-PARTY DAVID ANDREW
 CHRISTENSON**

On October 18, 2021, Magistrate Judge Nathaniel M. Cousins notified the parties that “[t]he Court has received a 59-page ‘Motion for Leave to File - Motion to Consolidate and Motion to Intervene and Join’ submitted by third-party David Andrew Christenson. The Court will permit filing of the first three pages of the filing, which is Christenson’s motion. The parties are ordered to respond to the motion by November 1, 2021.” Dkt. 75. The first three pages of Mr. Christenson’s *pro se* submission were then filed as Docket 76.

Defendants respectfully submit that the submission requires no action by the Court.

To the extent the submission can be construed as a motion at all, Defendants construe it as a motion for leave to file ten attachments. *See* Dkt. 76 at 3. This is moot. Magistrate Judge Cousins has already ordered the attachments stricken under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12(f)(1), “finding them to be redundant, immaterial, impertinent, and scandalous.” Dkt. 75. And though the submission is also styled as a combined “Motion to Consolidate and Motion to Intervene and Join,” even charitably construed it contains nothing that could be understood as an intervention motion. To the extent it is nonetheless construed as a motion to intervene, it should be denied. Such motions “must state the grounds for intervention and be accompanied by a pleading that sets out the claim or defense for which intervention is sought.” Fed. R. Civ. P. 24(c). Mr. Christenson’s submission does not articulate grounds for intervention and is not accompanied by a pleading of any kind.

Respectfully submitted

Dated: October 28, 2021

WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI
Professional Corporation

By: /s/ Brian M. Willen

Brian M. Willen
bwillen@wsgr.com

Attorneys for Defendants
YOUTUBE, LLC and SUNDAR PICHAI